

Form F-3. Inventory Project Report (INPR) Checklist

Checklist Preparer:	Date: 21 April 2004	
Name: Quinn Brown	Title: Geologist	
District:	Phone Number: (702) 792-0225	
Email address: quinn.f.brown@saic.com		
Property information		
Property Name: Hill Quarry Annex		
Previous Names, if any:		
Former Service: Quarry for Hill Air Force Base.		
Property Location:		
Street: 650 North 1500 East		
City: Kaysville	County: Davis	State: UT
Latitude: N 41° 02' 86. 7	Longitude: W 111° 54' 20. 7	
Property Owner Information:		
Name: Davis County/City of Kaysville		
Address (if other than above):		
Street: 28 East State Street		
City: Farmington	County: Davis	State: UT
Phone Number: (801) 451-3228		

Indicate the status of the following checklist items in determining the completeness of the INPR. Provide a narrative below to explain any shaded boxes checked:

		YES	NO	NA
I. Property Document Search:				
1.	Were the following records available and used in the preparation of the INPR?			
a.	Archive records	✓		
b.	Site Maps	✓		
c.	Aerial or ground photographs	✓		
d.	Prior studies, documents, reports, property contamination records, or sampling data	✓		
e.	Real estate records, deeds, or property transfer records	✓		
f.	Other documentation		✓	
2.	Indicate whether the following have been contacted and interviewed to obtain information.			
a.	Current landowner(s)	✓		
b.	Neighbors		✓	
c.	Previous landowner(s)	✓		
d.	Prior employee(s)	✓		
e.	Federal agencies, including regulatory agencies.	✓		
f.	State agencies, including regulatory agencies.	✓		
g.	Local agencies, including regulatory agencies.	✓		
h.	Other available sources.		✓	

15 July 2002

Indicate the status of the following checklist items in determining the completeness of the INPR.
Provide a narrative below to explain any shaded boxes checked:

		YES	NO	NA
II. Property Visit:				
a.	Has the property been physically visited?	✓		
b.	Was access to the property possible (right of entry provided by landowner)?	✓		
c.	Was access sufficient to assess potential hazards?	✓		
d.	Was there evidence of a release of hazardous material during DoD control?		✓	
e.	Was there evidence of a release of DoD hazardous material into a public or private drinking water supply?		✓	
f.	Was a trip report of the property visit prepared?	✓		
III. Property Eligibility Determination: (see paragraph 3-8.a.)				
a.	Is the property Categorically Excluded? (see criteria in paragraph 3-8.a.)		✓	
b.	Are there release, hold harmless, "as-is", or indemnification clauses in deeds or property transfer documents that limit DoD liability?		✓	
c.	Is there evidence of the property this being a Third Party Site? (see paragraph 3-8.b.(2).(d))		✓	
d.	Is the property eligible under FUDS? (see criteria in paragraph 3-8.a)	✓		
e.	If necessary, has a " <i>Categorical Exclusion or Ineligible Property</i> " form been prepared (see Form F-1)			✓
IV. Project Eligibility Determination: (see paragraph 3-8.b.)				
a.	Have all typical hazards been investigated for possible occurrence at this type of property?	✓		
b.	Were hazards identified?		✓	
c.	Are identified hazards of DoD Origin?			
d.	If identified hazards were of non-DoD origin, has the lead regulatory agency been informed? (provide name, phone number, date)			
e.	Is the current owner under a RCRA or CERCLA cleanup order?		✓	
f.	Has an adjacent DoD installation retained 'First Right of Refusal? (see paragraph 3-9'h (1))			✓
g.	Is there evidence of beneficial use? (see paragraphs 3-8.b.(2))	✓		
h.	Are there other policy considerations against recommending a project?		✓	
i.	Are eligible FUDS projects recommended? (if yes, identify projects below)		✓	
V. INPR Preparation and Review:				
a.	Is the INPR prepared consistent with INPR Content Matrix (Table F- 1)?			
b.	Does the INPR Property Summary Sheet follow Table F-2?			
c.	Does the Project Summary Sheet follow Table F-3?			
d.	In appropriate, has a " <i>BD/DR Project Summary Sheet Checklist</i> " been prepared? (see Form F-2)			
e.	Has an RACER@' cost-to-complete estimate been developed and entered into FUDSMIS for each recommended project?			
f.	Has a RAC Score Sheet been prepared for eligible OE projects?			

Indicate the status of the following checklist items in determining the completeness of the INRP. Provide a narrative below to explain any shaded boxes checked:

		YES	NO	NA
g.	If eligible HTRW or OE projects have been identified, has an EPA " <i>Potential Hazardous Waste Site Preliminary Assessment Form</i> " been prepared?			
h.	If the INRP recommends a HTRW or PRP project, has the Military HTRW Design District reviewed the INPR? (see Figure F-3)			
i.	If the INPR recommends HTRW or PRP projects, has the HTRW Center of Expertise reviewed and provided recommendation to the MSC Commander? (see Figure F-3)			
j.	If the INPR recommends OE or OE/PRP projects, has the OE Center of Expertise reviewed and provided recommendation to the MSC Commander? (see Figure F-3)			
VI. INPR Coordination - Were the results of the final INPR shared with:				
a.	The current landowner(s)?			
b.	The lead regulatory agency?			
VII. INPR Filing:				
a.	Is there a Property File or an Administrative Record established at the district?			
b.	Are all PAE/PA documents, records, maps, photos, and reports included in the Property File or Administrative Record file at the district?			

Provide narrative comments to explain above notations:

I. Property Document Search:

2.b. It was not necessary to contact neighbors.

IV. Project Eligibility Determination:

a. Hazardous waste, waste ordnance, and debris hazards were evaluated during the site assessments.

g. Land resources at the site are widely used by Davis County and the City of Kaysville.